

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 JACK WILLIAM MORGAN,
8 Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cr-00064-KJD-EJY

ORDER

9
10 Pending before the Court is Defendant Jack Morgan's application to proceed *in forma*
11 *pauperis* ("IFP") filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). While Defendant's application is complete
12 demonstrating eligibility for IFP status, Defendant is not obligated to prepay court fees associated
13 with his defense or motion practice. The Court advises Defendant that qualifying to proceed *in*
14 *forma pauperis* does not extend to costs associated with his motion practice. *Picozzi v. Clark Cty.*
15 *Det. Ctr.*, Case No. 2:15-cv-00816-JCM-PAL, 2017 WL 1023343, at *2 (D. Nev. Mar. 15, 2017)
16 ("the *in forma pauperis* statute does not provide 'for the payment by the government of the costs of
17 deposition transcripts, or any other litigation expenses'"') (quoting *Tabron v. Grace*, 6 F.3d 147, 159
18 (3d Cir. 1993)). Thus, while the Court will approve the IFP application should an event arise
19 requiring the pre-payment of court fees, the Court advises Defendant that the obligation to pay costs
20 associated with his motion practice is not waived by this Order.

21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Jack Morgan's application to
22 proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 168) is GRANTED consistent with the contents of this Order.

23 Dated this 8th day of April, 2024.

24
25 
26 ELAYNA J. YOUCHAH
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28